Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/760,612	PRAGER, SCOTT HOWARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas Duong	2145
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Thomas Duong</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Diane Torniali</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	we have a second of the second	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
1/1		
JASON GARDONE AU2145		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) .(Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Seth Ostrow, the attorney of record at Brown, Raysman, Millstein, Felder & Steiner LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Torniali returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.